

# Pöhl paper on Economic union

2 questions:

- does EU presuppose further progress in the area of monetary integration?
- what minimum requirements for economic policy (real economy + coordination) in order to allow EMU to be implemented in the first place?

EU + EMU are interlocking steps which logically accompanied by the 2 questions)

kind of interrelation function of the institutions to ensure implementation to certain

commercial markets should not happen + commercial stability  
in fixed rates; in the countries, i.e. inflation coordination  
is hard + if not more coordination, it's not likely to be  
achievable.

but, marketplace etc. (perhaps through action of others)  
would be source of discipline to 'financial' market

Problems however, EU requires

- commercial + regulatory + financial policies
- agreement on policy goals + priorities  
in connection with the  
first EU Congress
- fiscal + banking coordination of fiscal and policies  
(public deficit budgetary, revenue + monetary policy)  
+ coordination regional + structural policies for C as a whole

pycner remains vague  
between the requirement  
in & soft & hard Eu;  
except that more is  
needed.

- > in soft  $\rightarrow$  coarseness  
between current granularities?  
What does that imply in  
concrete terms?
- > in hard  $\rightarrow$  thicker coarse.  
May have to include specific  
elements (size of slabs, thickness  
of cap, base, slab movement)

- in structural area,  
difficult to know.  
But does that not have  
to be coarsened or  
can't it involve from  
anomalous material selection?

level EU → control of macro management central shift policies  
to central budgets (which might enhance administrative  
functions), but main task still with  
national budgets; shorter integration in  
large chance, more common spending rules  
(budget deficits, exp. & tax, debt management)  
but only for small purposes; for the  
rest the member states' autonomy can be  
preserved. (p 12)

- → parameters affecting coordination, tax &  
social systems not as comprehensive as  
for purpose of overall co-management;  
information necessary to limit "free-riding".

### ii) policies in the field of income formation

- direct influence in wage & price formation and  
selection of protection mechanisms has taken place
- robust wage instruments available
- incomes policies gave wage by indirect influence on  
the macroeconomic, employment, tax and social policy  
framework

in soft EU, these tendencies to be strengthened  
with a tilt towards minimalist  
deflationary pressures

per definition to wage component (social  
security contributions, contribution to unemployment  
benefits & pension insurance)  
non-tariff wage cost components  
(social norms, different production etc.)

General critique:

- suggestion of  
pre-conditioning → for members  
new boards, cultural  
marked increase, requires  
with respect to local  
members of central  
+ culture to rural conditions?

13 5

- at this stage also large measure of political harmonisation

on slogan (p. 3)

decent & sensible to final ex. (= qualitative exp.)

the necessary elements allowing this being seen to  
arise at Country or soft & local ec. union

a) soft

the central parcels EU; not limited by pure either

EU, however, can be achieved (directly approached!) without any  
but even that is very difficult because

- it requires some surrender of sovereignty

high market → moves to more <sup>existing</sup> regulatory behaviour

i.e. regulation policies (competition, taxation,  
environment, health, consumer protection, banking  
policy) and related economic, company  
& social legislation at C level

possibly also strengthen C regulation, government

regional & industrial policy and more of  
mechanisms to prevent structural & industrial  
conflict

p. 5 This going up even local distribution.

critique → may undermine them

danger with single market: conflicts have uneven

distribution of benefits (inequality  
(exclusively)) as always  
to ethical planning factor,

- can measure dispersion  
be remediated by U.V.  
adjustment?
- does stock-taking imply  
that the market programme  
not likely to be maintained?
- if economic weak & difficult  
(e.g. inflation helped by few  
of distorting effects from out-  
ward), how can a consensus  
on the policy stance with respect  
to the workings of the economy  
be reached?

- soft
- int. market (competition  
measures)
- broad agreement on policies
- similar policy stance
- = strong demand of  
consensus
- but still st. v. adjustment

### last

- much stronger policy harmonization  
through strengthened  
binding coordination of  
policies
- cf adjustment theory  
and put end to import and  
determined to not-market

single market requires harmonization of macro-policies

+ broadly similar policy planning becomes

if soft → agreement on policy goals; remaining = through  
law or adjustment

the principle  
of  
elusive  
but  
substantive  
and  
principles  
of  
cooperation

treaties reflect different educational programme  
of members

### state of integration

- customs union but border controls
- no free movement for many occupational groups
- restrictions in finance (bank regulation, financial flows)
- this → free movement of goods, capital + labour not achieved
- -
- discrepancies in policies + regulatory patterns micro-structures
  - a) discrimination in favour of national economy in public procurement (affirmative action standards, environmental + consumer protection policies)
  - b) distorting competition, structural + regional policy
  - c) policies without fiscal controls
- -
- Furthermore nothing constraints on the policy choice with respect to the working of the economy
  - (which combination of price stability, employment, growth, budget balance is prioritized)
- with national "preferences" concerning only slowly, be realistic

- Is it really necessary  
that all cultures are  
egocentric in they it  
is impossible to find the  
common goals?

## 2 basic elements of an economic union

### 1) macroeconomic policies

#### 1) monetary policy

issues discussed in the context of the EMS at present will become even more pronounced in the process of completing an internal market ~~as in~~

- present stage of monetary policy coordination must be enable the internal market to function  
(in a soft EU)

more needs to be done in level 2a

#### 2) budgetary policy

EU (whether soft or hard) requires coordination of financial policy for overall economic management.

- avoid conflicting financial policies (indebt imbalances!)
- also implement the fiscal stance (e.g., ex-nato institutions)
- and for structural reasons
- harmonize taxation, regulation, policies

in soft EU  $\rightarrow$  coordination of member countries' financial policies beyond the Maastricht guidelines; in line with medium-term strategy but pragmatic; common cyclical position although room for individual "policy mixes".

In a hard EU : - European management + labour would have to give wage policy to productivity and accept the idea of minimal price increase

- skilled + qualified labour will move to areas with higher return; disciplining pressure on wage adjustments

- competitive disadvantages could be mitigated by wage adjustments; more wage flexibility needed

## b) policies in macro-ec. area

### 1) Regulatory and competition policy

in hard EU  $\rightarrow$  fully compatible since roles that the role of the government in economy

(role of state enterprises, government control in sectors + decreased oligopolism, protection against private monopoly influence)

competition  $\rightarrow$  control over private market power (cartels, merger monitoring)

### 2) Regional + structural policies

question of prosperity differences, spread of unification

soft EU  $\rightarrow$  differences are mitigated by adjustable institutions

differences have to be reduced by regional + structural policies at European level; resources of structural funds have to be increased, particularly

in hard EU; outline horizontal finance compensation between member states

but do not possess a competitive production facility

### 3. Unenforceable protection

Effect on workers; split at product level, limited on  
transfer to high market

Standardization of unenforceable protection regulation

### External standards of communication

- are perfect for protection measures; open trade approach, strict controls

4

### Prohibitional requirements + harmonized publishing

development of  
C contributions / in line with harmonization; otherwise compatibility or  
(efficiency-enabling mechanisms + books)  
behaviour  
soft EU → timeliness of implementation process a necessary prerequisite  
for not weying the benefit of the high market  
through appropriate (EU) standards of enterprises & household  
the power vacuum or confusion of responsibilities

hard EU → a much higher degree of compatibility; coordination  
to pick an extent that market reaches parity without  
end that improved circumstances are ensured by themselves  
this can be achieved in principle by agreement, behaviour  
or formal governmental rules

but empirical benefits of broad alignment making better  
legal position so far the main policy, therefore not  
only substantial coordinating institutions in monetary policy

different legal questions: interpretation of relevant standards of Treaty;  
democratic authority of Parliament.

preparation of Oct. meeting

Working session

27/2 Feb Brussels

- finalise ~~the~~ type paper

↳ union économique paper

Chairman

- (to the) to members

- budget issue

- Committee of  
2 papers

- analysis of  
Port paper

↳ check  
whether  
not by  
himself  
to circ?

Chairman's  
statement

to function prepared

Keep ARI + EU aspect

Now: paper  
for the situation  
in Luxembourg

Holiday Inn

- discuss subject of ec. union

- if firm broadening of min - function

↓  
if not, ~ Now → will have a  
paper

Members

for papers submitted

Banchal →

this lecture taught by Prof. Gao to be a  
reference [94]

---

Wants agreement on 2 things → - about the structuring of  
central bank

- review P/V Convergence decision  
for final stage