Feedback to consultation paper

Document Title	T2S Consultation Paper: Principles and High Level Proposals	
Document Reference	070323_note_ConsultPrincipalsProposals_v0.98.doc	
Issued for review	26.04.2007	
Deadline for review	27.06.2007	

Proposal Nr.	Statement	Comment	Institution	Confidential
	1 I agree		Banco Santander	No
	2 I do not agree entirely	more clarification needed	Banco Santander	No
	3 I agree		Banco Santander	No
	4 I agree		Banco Santander	No
	5 I do not agree entirely	It is not clear if this refers to segregation of direct from indirect participants or prop	Banco Santander	No
		cash holdings from clients cash holdings. Overdraft limits should be considered to		
		indirect participants cash holdings		
	6 I agree		Banco Santander	No
	7 I agree		Banco Santander	No
	8 I agree		Banco Santander	No
	9 I agree		Banco Santander	No
	10 I agree	Flagging should be a better option to segregation	Banco Santander	No
	11 I agree	Data containing CA info should be incorporated	Banco Santander	No
	12 I agree	Users should be given access on a read only basis for global consistency of info	Banco Santander	No
	13 I agree		Banco Santander	No
	14 I agree		Banco Santander	No
	15 I agree		Banco Santander	No
	16 I agree		Banco Santander	No
	17 I agree		Banco Santander	No
	18 I agree		Banco Santander	No
	19 I agree		Banco Santander	No
	20 I agree		Banco Santander	No
	21 I agree	During night time settlement period affected T2S cash accounts should not impact T2RTGS accounts	Banco Santander	No
	22 I agree	Day time period should stop before T2cash	Banco Santander	No
	23 I do not agree entirely	Option is understood but we should endeavour for harmonization on CSDs at this	Banco Santander	No
		point		
	24 I agree		Banco Santander	No

RESTRICTED

Proposal Nr.	Statement	Comment	Institution	Confidential
25	5 I agree	This is sensitive and would need further details to make sure that harmonization is	Banco Santander	No
		achieved when possible and specificities are necessary and do not really interfere with	L	
		core T2S settlement		
20	6 I agree		Banco Santander	No
27	7 I agree		Banco Santander	No
28	8 I agree		Banco Santander	No
29	9 I agree		Banco Santander	No
	0 I do not agree entirely	Agreed with the principle. There are certain matchings such us retail on exchange trades which for certain markets should be done locally and enter T2S already matched. The sames go for CCP transactions However giving the choice can be confusing . Rules on when in T2S or locally should be clear and unique for the different situations on a non misleading way	Banco Santander	No
3	1 I agree		Banco Santander	No
	2 I do not agree entirely	see 30	Banco Santander	No
	3 I do not agree entirely	Ok but ECSA standards to be finally seen. Matching is also affected legally by different local regulations	Banco Santander	No
34	4 I agree		Banco Santander	No
	5 I do not agree entirely	it needs clarification on the concept and application of enrichment	Banco Santander	No
30	6 I agree		Banco Santander	No
3′	7 I agree		Banco Santander	No
	8 I agree		Banco Santander	No
	9 I agree		Banco Santander	No
	0 I agree		Banco Santander	No
	1 I agree		Banco Santander	No
	2 I do not agree entirely	More info needed. The benefits of the different models to be reviewed (DVP2 and 3 vs 1)	Banco Santander	No
4	3 I agree		Banco Santander	No
4	4 I do not agree entirely	Further explanation is necessary	Banco Santander	No
4.	5 I do not agree entirely	Clarification on the use of batch vs real time settlemet is necessary	Banco Santander	No
4	6 I agree		Banco Santander	No
4	7 I agree	Harmonisation necessary	Banco Santander	No
- 49	8 I agree		Banco Santander	No
49	9 I agree		Banco Santander	No
	0 I agree		Banco Santander	No
	1 I agree		Banco Santander	No
	2 I agree		Banco Santander	No
	3 I agree		Banco Santander	No
	4 I agree		Banco Santander	No

RESTRICTED

Proposal Nr.	Statement	Comment	Institution	Confidential
5:	5 I do not agree entirely	Direct access to T2S given by a CSD would be allowed for settlements on holdings	Banco Santander	No
		with such CSD wether the latter is the primary issuer CSD or an Investor CSD.		
		Furthermore access also for settlements on holdings indirectly held with other		
		T2SCSDs		
50	6 I agree		Banco Santander	No
5	7 I do not agree entirely	Second paragraph to be eliminated	Banco Santander	No
5	8 I agree		Banco Santander	No
5	9 I agree		Banco Santander	No
6	0 I do not agree entirely	It depends on accuracy of the information.	Banco Santander	No
61	1 I do not agree entirely	The market would feel more comfortable if 400% applies. Additionally T2S should	Banco Santander	No
		be able to cope with settlement peaks over the e day cycle		
6	2 I agree		Banco Santander	No
6.	3 I agree		Banco Santander	No
	4 I agree		Banco Santander	No
6.	5 I agree		Banco Santander	No
	6 I do not agree entirely	Too early to assess if the market by market approach better than the asset class by	Banco Santander	No
		asset class approach. Doors should not be closed to either option at this stage		
6	7 I do not agree entirely	Practical but best practise solutions should be favored	Banco Santander	No