



Countercyclical Capital Buffer, Quo Vadis?

Determinants of CCyB Decisions

Some comments

Giuseppe Napoletano

Institutional and operational framework for macroprudential policies and tools in Europe

8th Macroprudential Policy Group Workshop

14 October 2025, Budapest - Magyar Nemzeti Bank

The message of the paper

- There was a “*structural shift in the association between systemic risk and the implementation of the CCyB before and after the pandemic*”
- a change in the “nature” of the CCyB? → *from countercyclical to structural?*

1) Inadequacy of the credit-to-GDP gap

- other variables were more relevant
- is the CRD flexible enough? See Article 136(2) CRD
- What to do? Two suggestions:
 - *decide what CCyB should be used for*
 - *do not insert the indicators into the CRD*

2) “*one-year time-lag between indicator signals and policy activation*”

- “*tendency to only decide after risk signals have been confirmed in following quarters*” (page 18)
- difficulty of using a countercyclical tool on such a short-term, regular basis (quarterly)
- Should the CCyB be assessed on a semi-annual basis?

3) CCyB and SyRB

- The paper takes the SyRB into consideration
- as the SyRB is provided in the CRD, its implementation depends upon the way CCyB is designed
- Will there still be room for the SyRB in the future?

4) Role of the ECB

- additional information might be needed
- alignment among analyses carried out by the ECB and by national Authorities