Discussion of Fiscal Multipliers and Financial Crises by Miguel Faria-e-Castro

Mathias Trabandt Freie Universität Berlin

Frankfurt am Main, November 20, 2017

The Paper in a Nutshell

- What did U.S. fiscal policy do to aggregate consumption during the Great Recession?
- Model-based analysis using New Keynesian model with banks, housing and fiscal policy.

- Measurement of fiscal stimulus during Great Recession:
 - Gov. consumption, transfers to households, bank recapitalization and credit guarantees.

The Paper in a Nutshell

- <u>Key result I:</u> Consumption would have fallen by 50% more during the Great Recession without fiscal stimulus.
 - Consumption would have fallen by about 3.75% instead of 2.5%.
- <u>Key result II:</u> Transfers to households (borrowers) and bank recapitalization particularly effective during the Great Recession.
- <u>Key result III:</u> Fiscal multipliers state dependent.

The Model



- •New Keynesian Model (nonlinear)
- •Non-trivial extension of Curdia-Woodford (2010)



Fiscal Interventions



Further Observables



Key Results: Effects of Fiscal Policy





Intuition

- Why are transfers to borrowers and bank recapitalizations most efficacious during the crisis?
 - Transfers directly relax borrowing constraint and bank recap.
 directly reduce credit spread.
- Minor questions:
 - Why are bank guarantees not plotted in figure?
 - Why is trough of consumption different in figures 3 and 8?
 - How important are TFP and credit risk shocks for consumption and BAA spread (historical decomp.)?

Key Result: State Dependent Multipliers





•Notice that multipliers for transfers and recaps are basically zero in normal times but high during crisis times.

• Purchases multiplier always below one.

Depth and Duration of the Great Recession

• Paper implies that the economy was back to 'normal'

by end-2010. Also, the recession was quite shallow.



• Use of HP-filtered data appears problematic – fiscal multipliers depend on depth and duration of crisis!

HP vs. Linear Pre-Crisis Trend



- HP trend generates a very short-lived and shallow recession
- Linear pre-crisis trend perhaps also extreme
- Suggestion: use consumption growth rate as observable



The Zero Lower Bound

• Taylor rule used in model:

$$Q_t^{-1} = \bar{Q}^{-1} \left[\frac{\Pi_t}{\bar{\Pi}} \right]^{\phi_{\Pi}} \left[\frac{Y_t}{\bar{Y}} \right]^{\phi_Y}$$

 Model solution and analysis abstracts from the zero lower bound on the nominal policy interest rate.

Taylor Rules vs. Federal Funds Rate



•Source: Elias, Irvin and Jorda, 2014, FRBSF Economic Letter, 2014-35

Comments on ZLB

- Unfortunately, paper does not show any nominal variables (inflation, nominal interest rate, ...).
 - How large are the discrepancies for inflation and the nominal interest rate in the model and the data?

Crowding out of consumption due to higher gov.
 purchases suggests standard interest rate response
 (i.e. ZLB not binding).

Comments on ZLB

- There exists a very large literature emphasizing the importance of the ZLB for the magnitude of fiscal multipliers.
 - How do the multiplier estimates for the various fiscal
 - instruments considered in this paper depend on the ZLB?
 - How do the ZLB multipliers compare with the literature?

Further (Minor) Comments

- Parameterization implies a very steep Phillips curve which is at odds with recent data.
- How important are resource costs of price adjustment quantitatively?
- Taylor rule in terms of GDP rather than gross output?
- Model extension with endogenous capital would be very interesting.

Conclusion

• Very interesting and inspiring paper!

• Lots of food for thought.